

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

265

CWP-28473-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.08.2023

ANJANA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

PANJAB UNIVERSITY AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Vohra, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Addl. Standing Counsel
for the respondent No.3-U.T. Chandigarh.

Mrs. R.K. Grewal, Advocate
for the applicant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CM-11046-CWP-2023

The present application i.e. CM-11046-CWP-2023 had been filed by Sunil Malhotra as a proposed respondent espousing certain grievances.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that present petition had been filed for seeking restoration of electricity connection and that the said connection had been restored by the respondents, hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-

No.3 also substantiate the aforesaid.

Taking into consideration that the petitioner does not intend to press the instant petition any further, there is no occasion for impleadment of the applicant as respondent in the present petition.

The CM-11046-CWP-2023 is accordingly dismissed with liberty to the applicant to take recourse to the remedies available to them in accordance with law.

MAIN CASE

The present petition has been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents authorities to restore the electricity connection of the house of the petitioner bearing House No.11, Block E-1, VTC, Panjab University, Sector-14, Chandigarh.

In view of the aforesaid statement, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

AUGUST 17, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No