

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24699-2023

Date of decision: 03.11.2023

Jatin Madaan

...Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to consider the request and conduct reassessment/rechecking/revaluation of the marks granted in the examination answer sheets of Paper-B of Anatomy (Annexure P-3) and Biochemistry-B (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1 University is directed to consider the said representation dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner and in case, the

pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1 University to consider representation dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1 University would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

03.11.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**