

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-3910-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.02.2023**

Surinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Arvind Verma and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. R. S. Athwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. A. P. S. Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Mr. A. K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

Mr. K. P. Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are alleging violation of the order dated 05.10.2018, passed by the Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab, vide which, while deciding a revision petition filed by one Kulwinder Singh/co-sharer, it was directed that Assistant Collector, 1st Grade will hear the petitioner as well as LRs of respondent No. 8 and carve out their *Tuks* as per the compromise dated 23.05.2018, without disturbing the *Tuks* of other co-sharers. Petitioner Sureinder Singh and others were the respondents in the said revision petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No. 1 has passed a fresh order on 16.05.2019, which is a violation of the order passed by the Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that apart from filing the present petition, the petitioners have challenged the said order, passed by the Assistant Collector, 1st Grade, before the appellate authority and the appellate authority has already set aside the order and has remanded back the matter.

In view of the above, no case of any willful disobedience is made out against the respondents.

Dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

01.02.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No