

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-24662-2023
Date of decision: 06.112023

ASTHAL NADU PANTHI BALA DEHHAJA DHOLIDAR KAST
DADU PANTHI KHUDKAST DAWARA MAHANT RAMESHWAR
SWAMI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel most fairly submits, that the impugned order is ridden with a gross fallacy, inasmuch as, it has been rendered despite a petition cast under Section 7 of The Haryana Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, being *subjudice* before the Assistant Collector concerned.
2. This Court appreciates the forthrightness of the learned State counsel, in his making the above submission.
3. In consequence, the instant petition is allowed, and, the impugned order is quashed, and, set aside. The learned Assistant Collector concerned, is directed to within 2 months from today make a speaking decision, upon the relevant lis, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

06.11.2023

Ithlesh

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE