

**245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 14th February, 2023

ARB-474-2022 (O&M)

D.D. Resorts Pvt. Ltd.

... Petitioner

Versus

Banwari Lal and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Chanchal K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dharamvir Sharma Advocate for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

CM-2661-CII-2023

This application is filed for bringing on record LR's of respondent No.5.

Notice of the application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner/non-applicant accepts the same and has no objection if the application is allowed.

For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is allowed subject to all just exceptions and the persons mentioned in the paragraph No.2 of the application are impleaded as LR's of respondent No.5. Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

Main case

This is a petition seeking extension of time for completion of arbitration proceedings.

Brief facts are that parties entered into collaboration agreement dated 27.9.2007. Clause 42 of the Agreement provided for dispute resolution through arbitration mechanism. The arbitral tribunal was constituted of Justice V.K. Gupta (Former Chief Justice of Himachal Pradesh High Court), Justice Jai Narain Yadav (Retd.), Justice M.L. Mehta (Retd.) in 2018.

Learned counsel for the respondents opposes the prayer for extention of time. The contention is that delay already has occurred, but on instructions submits that he has no objection if the reasonable time period is granted.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of and for completion of arbitration proceedings time is extended by three months from today.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

14th February, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No