

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6994 of 2023

Date of Decision: 01.12.2023

Harmohan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar (deceased) through his LRs

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sunpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-landlord has prayed for the issuance of direction to the Rent Controller, Ludhiana, to conclude the proceedings in the rent petition bearing '**RP No.841/2015**' titled as '**Harmohan Singh vs. Pawan Kumar**' in a time bound manner, while averring that he is an aged person and the afore-said rent petition, as filed by him in the year 2015 for seeking the eviction of the respondent-tenant from the rented premises, is still pending and thus, he is unable to use his own property.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner-landlord in the present revision petition, at the preliminary stage and have also perused the file carefully.

Keeping in view the facts that the above-mentioned rent petition is pending adjudication for the last almost 08 (eight) years and the

petitioner is an old person, aged about 72 years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the afore-referred rent/eviction petition, the revision petition in hand is hereby disposed of with a direction to the Rent Controller concerned to conclude the proceedings and to decide the above-said rent petition, in accordance with law, up to 29.02.2024.

01.12.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*