

**205+206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 13th March, 2023

CWP-28402-2022

Jaspal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CWP-28839-2022

Jaswinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. These two petitions are filed aggrieved of the orders passed in pursuance to the instructions dated 13th November, 2022, directing the petitioners to deposit the weapons with the concerned police station and suspending the licence with immediate effect.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that case of the petitioners is not covered by the instructions.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the order is appealable.

4. Contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that as per the proviso of Section 18(1) of the Arms Act, 1959 (for short 'the Act') no appeal lies against order made by or under the directions of the Government.

5. As per the petitioners, the case does not fall within the purview of the directions dated 13th November, 2022. In such circumstances, matter would not be covered by proviso to Section 18(1) of the Act. The issue as to whether directions are applicable to facts of the present case or not can be subject matter of appeal.
6. The petitions are dismissed with liberty to avail remedy of appeal.
7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13th March, 2023
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No