

2023:PHHC:158047

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(213)

CRM-M-55367-2023
Date of decision:- 11.12.2023.

Baljeet Kaur
Versus
State of Punjab and anr.
...Petitioner
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant-petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J. (Oral)

1. On 06.11.2023, following order was passed:-

“1. Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.049 dated 11.07.2023 registered under Sections 498-A/406 IPC, 1860 at Women Police Station, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is aged about 59 years and is mother-in-law of the complainant. The marriage of the son of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 21.01.2015 and a son has been born from the wedlock. The matrimonial dispute has cropped up between the couple. The FIR has been lodged on the basis of false, vague and general allegations. The petitioner was granted interim bail by the Court of Sessions and in pursuance thereof, she had joined the investigation.

The son of the petitioner has been granted regular bail and her daughter has been granted anticipatory bail by the Court of Sessions.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Adjourned to 11.12.2023.

6. Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that she joins the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by this Court.

3. Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect as asserted by the learned counsel for the petitioner and further contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Considering above the petition is allowed, and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.11.2023 is made absolute.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

11th December, 2023

spn

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No