

2023:PHHC:143885

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10691-2023

Date of decision : 03.11.2023

Aneha Goyal and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also seeking directions qua respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. Petitioners are both major and are stated to have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6, who happens to be relatives of respondent No.1.

3. Counsel for the petitioners cannot dispute and rather from the contents of the petition, it is admitted case that both the petitioners are residing in Delhi.

4. In view of above, this Court finds that the cause of action to invoke Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the petitioners, if any, shall be maintainable not before this Court, but before the constitutional Court having jurisdiction over territory wherein they are

2023:PHHC:143885

residing as it is only at that place that they need to be protected.

5. Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

03.11.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No