

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

119

CWP-24660-2023 (O&M)
Decided on : 02.11.2023

SONIA BHATT

. . .Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Dr. Neha Awasthi, Advocate
for respondent No. 5-HPSC.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that after filing the present petition, the representation (Annexure P-7) has already been decided vide order dated 31.10.2023, which order needs to be challenged because the petitioner intend to redress his grievance, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed, any further, with liberty to avail appropriate remedy against the order dated 31.10.2023 rejecting the representation of the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

02.11.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No