

2023:PHHC:142395

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP-24784-2023

Date of Decision : November 08, 2023

Som Pal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the order, which is being relied upon to claim the benefit, has already been withdrawn and the order withdrawing the benefit is not under challenge in the present petition, hence, the claim raised by the petitioner is liable to be rejected.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order withdrawing the benefit has never been supplied to him.
3. Learned State counsel has supplied the copy of the order to the learned counsel for the petitioner, which order was passed in the year 2017 itself.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order by which the benefit of regularization granted to the petitioner from ante date has been withdrawn, by filing appropriate writ petition.
5. Ordered accordingly.

November 08, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No