

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

275

CM-6484-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-28375-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2023

SHEIKH KAMALUDDIN AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Ms. Anmol Gupta,
Mr. Vardaan Malhotra, Advocates
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.P. Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Senior Counsel, Govt. of India
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

CM-6484-CWP-2023

This is an application for preponement of the next date of hearing in
the main petition which is otherwise fixed for 20.07.2023.

It has been informed by learned counsel for the respective parties that
the dispute stands resolved amicably between the parties vide agreement dated
21.02.2023 (Annexure A-1) and as such, the main petition may kindly be
preponed and disposed of accordingly.

In view of the above statement and for the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the hearing of the main petition is preponed from 20.07.2023 and the main petition is taken on the Board today itself.

MAIN CASE

The present petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions for recalling and/or setting aside the Look Out Circular (LOC) issued against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the matter has already been resolved between the parties and the petition bearing No.CRM-M-17656-2022 has already been filed for seeking quashing of the FIR in question alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute the factum of compromise. Learned State Counsel also submits that the recommendation seeking withdrawal of the LOC in question has already been sent vide letter dated 02.05.2023. He further submits that the State has no objection to the banks accounts of the petitioners being defreezed and appropriate communication in this regard has been sent on 04.05.2023.

In view of the aforesaid statements made by the learned State Counsel as well as the counsel for respondent No.3, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

18.05.2023
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No