

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(224)

CWP-38028-2018

Date of decision: - 10.03.2023

Jang Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. K.S. Mamrat, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yadwinder Singh Bhangu, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

None for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to hold an inquiry while deciding the representations (Annexure P-1 (colly.) respectively.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Baljinder Singh, Vigilance Bureau, Fatehgarh Sahib, has pointed out that in pursuance of the representations given by the petitioner, an FIR has been registered against respondent No.5-Baldev Singh and other persons. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Ms. Nidhi Sinha, DDPO, Fatehgarh Sahib, has submitted that even an inquiry to the allegations against respondent No.5 is being done by the DDPO, which would be

forwarded to the Director, within a period of one month and thereafter, the same would be finalized within a period of two months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on account of the said statement made by learned State counsel, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

The respondent-State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

March 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No