

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(206)

CWP-33665-2019

Date of decision: - 01.05.2023

**Emana and another****....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-8).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, against the order dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-8), two appeals have been filed by the petitioners and the same are now pending since 2019. It is further submitted that a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 20.11.2019, had stayed the operation and effect of the impugned order dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-8). It is prayed that respondent No.5 be directed to decide the said appeals as expeditiously as possible and till that time, the interim relief granted by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 20.11.2019 be continued.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that they have no objection to the said course of action. It is, however, submitted that the grant of interim stay should not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and respondent No.5 would decide the said appeals independently, in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to decide the appeals of the petitioners pending against the order dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-8), as expeditiously as possible and till the time the said appeals are decided, interim order dated 20.11.2019 granted by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court will continue.

It is made clear that the grant of interim order should not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and respondent No.5 would decide the said appeals independently, in accordance with law.

**May 01, 2023**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No