

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24585-2023

Date of Decision: November 02, 2023

Bhola Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Harish Goyal Advocate for
Mr.Sanyam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 4 for reopening of the street going towards petitioner's house being used by him and other villagers for many years, which is now being illegally blocked by respondents No.5 to 8.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the street was illegally blocked by respondents No.5 to 8 for which the petitioner duly filed representation before respondent No.2 (SDM) on 20.06.2023, and before respondent No.3- BDPO, Dirba and SHO Chhajali on 10.07.2023. He submits that even thereafter no action has been taken on the representation till date.

Notice of motion to official respondents only at this stage.

On asking of the Court, Ms.Akshita Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that respondent No.2-SDM has already taken cognizance on the application and issued directions to the BDPO and the

SHO vide its letter dated 11.07.2023. She submits that BDPO and SHO had already been directed by the SDM for reopening of the street.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-SDM to take further necessary action for compliance of his orders, so that the same is complied with within a month from today.

November 02, 2023
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |