

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CWP-24602-2023

Date of Decision: 02.11.2023

Baldev Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

Union of India and Others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for
Ms. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner

Ms. Neha Sharma, Central Govt. Counsel,
for Union of India-respondent Nos.1 and 2

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to re-issue him passport.

2. The petitioner was issued passport which expired with the efflux of time. The petitioner applied for re-issuance of passport. An FIR No. 195 dated 29.11.2013 under Sections 420, 466, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Kamboj, Amritsar came to be registered against the petitioner. The police after completing investigation filed cancellation report, however, it was returned by the Trial Court. The police has neither filed cancellation nor otherwise report before the Trial Court in the aforesaid FIR.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment of *Mohan Lal @ Mohna Versus Union of India and Others; 2023 SCC OnLine 1391*.
4. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-stated factual position with respect to aforementioned FIR.
5. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 asserts that passport authority would consider grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks from today in the light of judgment of *Mohan Lal (supra)*.
6. In the wake of statements of learned counsels for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No