

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRWP-10726-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.11.2023

GAGAN BHULLAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate appears on behalf of petitioner and filed his vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

It is a case where the petitioner has an alternate remedy to approach the Illaqa Magistrate concerned for redressal of his grievance. Instead of approaching the Illaqa Magistrate, the petitioner has straightaway approached this Court, invoking the inherent jurisdiction enshrined under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The present petition is a mis-conceived motion. The petitioner can still avail an alternate remedy by approaching the Illaqa Magistrate to redress his grievance.

In view of the above, no interference is called for.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

06.11.2023

amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No