

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-57056-2022
DECIDED ON: 06.02.2023**

PARVEEN KUMAR BANSAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate
Ms. Malini Singh and Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

In compliance of the orders dated 20.01.2023 and 02.02.2023, the learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has produced a demand draft of Rs.30 lacs, drawn in favour of the complainant namely Sudesh Garg, dated 03.02.2023, bearing No.000902, issued by AU Small Finance Bank, which has been handed over to the complainant, who is present in Court today along with her counsel.

In compliance of the order dated 20.01.2023, the petitioner is stated to have joined the investigation and the said fact has not been controverted by the learned State Counsel.

In view of the above, the interim protection granted to the petitioner vide order dated 20.01.2023, is made absolute.

However, it is made clear that the payment of Rs.30 lacs paid by way of demand draft today in Court to the complainant, shall be subject to the final outcome of the litigation pending before the trial Court.

Disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

06.02.2023

Poonam Negi

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>