

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215-1) CRM-M-54551-2023
Date of Decision: 29.11.2023

Arif AnsariPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
07	19.04.2023	379, 420 IPC	P. S. Cyber Crime Hisar, District Hisar.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance to the order dated 14.11.2023, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
4. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation

consequent to the order dated 14.11.2023 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 14.11.2023 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.
6. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.11.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No