

257 2023:PHHC:154557
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRWP-10566-2023
Date of decision: 04.12.2023
PALAK AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Madhur Sharma, AAG Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. On 27.10.2023, following order was passed:

“The petitioners Palak and Dheeraj have filed petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

It is argued that both the petitioners are major. Copies of their aadhar cards are Annexure P-1 and P-2. They had performed marriage on 24.10.2023. Copy of their marriage photographs and marriage certificate are Annexure P-3. The petitioners also moved representation to the Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar, Punjab, which is Annexure P-4. The petitioners had performed marriage without the consent and wishes of parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1-Palak. The respondents No.4 and 5 are relatives of petitioner No.1-Palak from whom, the petitioners are receiving threats.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. IPS Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf

of the State. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply him a complete copy of the paperbook.

Let status report be called for 04.12.2023.

In the meantime respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

The aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law.”

2. Learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 04.12.2023 by way of affidavit of Sham Sunder, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar and refers to para No. 5 of the same, perusal of which indicates that both the petitioners have made a joint statmement that they do not require any protection and they are not having any danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.
3. In view the above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition and the same is hereby disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No