

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.56981 of 2022**  
Date of decision: 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2023

Raj Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: None.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

When the case was called in the morning, a request was made for adjournment by Ms. Kanchan Gautam, Advocate as it was stated that learned arguing counsel for the petitioner, Mr.Monty Goyal, Advocate was in personal difficulty and hence, had not come to the Court. However, since the instant petition was filed way back, on 05.12.2022, and not even on one date any submissions had been made on behalf of the petitioner, and instead repeated adjournments had been sought, Registry was directed to inform as to whether learned counsel for the petitioner had appeared in any other case or not in the Court today.

This Court has been apprised that in fact there were four cases, besides the present one, which were listed before Coordinate Benches of this Court, and in two of those cases, i.e. CRM-M No.44632 of 2023 and CRM-M No.44644 of 2023, learned counsel had indeed appeared.

In the circumstances, this Court has no hesitation to observe that it is discernible that learned counsel for the petitioner has been taking adjournments on one pretext or the other and does not wish to pursue the instant petition.

Dismissed for non prosecution.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

September 6, 2023

*rps*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |