

146

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26537-2023

Date of Decision: 28.11.2023

Rajinder Singh

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner claims promotion on the post of Superintendent Grade-I.

It is a post which has fallen vacant on 01.07.2023.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner retired on 31.07.2023, and therefore he was entitled for consideration for promotion on the said post. In view of the departmental enquiry and the criminal case pending, the respondents should have kept the case of the petitioner in a sealed cover.

3. However, this Court finds that the petitioner had been granted benefit of promotion on the post of Superintendent Grade-II itself, subject to the criminal case and the departmental enquiry. Thus, there was no occasion to keep his case in sealed cover.

4. The DPC has rightly rejected his claim for promotion considering that the petitioner is involved in a case for offences under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, apart from offences under sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 472, 120-B of IPC. Case is pending and the petitioner is facing trial.

- 5. In view thereof, no case for interference is made out.
- 6. Writ Petition stands *dismissed*.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |