

216 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-57903-2022
DECIDED ON: 5th JANUARY, 2023**

KUNAL SHARMA @ CAMBI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 296, dated 25.09.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (Sections 325 & 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Division No.8, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is delay in lodging the FIR and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He refers to the compromise dated 02.09.2022 entered into between the parties.

Custody certificate of the petitioner filed by learned State counsel, is taken on record. A perusal of the said certificate shows that the petitioner is behind the bars for the last 9 months and 23 days.

At this Stage, Mr. Saurav Kanojia, Advocate has put in

appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record and does not dispute the factum of compromise. He submits that he has no objection in case the petitioner is released on regular bail.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the fact that a compromise has been effected between the parties, the petitioner is ordered to released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Disposed off.

5th JANUARY, 2023
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>