

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33343-2019

Date of decision : 17.02.2023

Jatinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Harsh Chopra, Aadvocate
for respondent No.5.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner stands reinstated vide order dated 04.08.2021. However, no order has been passed w.r.t. the period interregnum as to whether the same has to be treated on duty or has to be treated as *dies non*.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he be granted liberty to represent to the respondent-Municipal Committee and the respondent-Committee be directed to decide the same in time bound manner.

3. Resultantly, the present writ petition is disposed off with the liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation before respondent No.4 in accordance with law. It is further directed that in case such representation is made within a period of 04 weeks from today, the same be decided within 12 weeks thereafter, in accordance with law.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

17.02.2023
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No