

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-57515-2022

Date of decision: 14th September, 2023

Raj Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. D.B. Bhargav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No. 486, dated 17th October, 2022, under Sections 120-B, 454, 457, 380, 447, 379 and 506 IPC, 1860 registered at P.S. Naraingarh, District Ambala.

2. One of the main ground for seeking quashing of the FIR is that for the same cause of action a complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. is pending.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 on instructions from his client submits that complainant is shortly going to withdraw the complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the present petition with liberty to raise all the pleas raised in this petition at an appropriate stage before the trial Court.

5. The petition is dismissed as not pressed.
6. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

14th September, 2023
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |