

116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:140005
CRWP-10714-2023

Date of Decision: November 03, 2023

Simardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner is brother-in-law (wife's brother) of respondent No.4. On account of matrimonial differences between respondent No.4 and his wife, i.e. sister of the petitioner, petitioner had to intervene, due to which respondent No.4 is allegedly threatening the petitioner to involve him in some cognizable offence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has got VISA to visit Canada in December, 2023 and he apprehends threat that because of threat extended by respondent No.4, he may not be able to go to Canada. He has prayed for necessary protection. He has also made representation dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, but no action has been taken.

Notice to motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 -State.

Present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) after assessing threat perception to life of the petitioner and do the needful as per law.

November 03, 2023

sarita

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reportable: Yes/No