

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.207-a

CRM-M-56592 of 2022

Date of Decision : January 20, 2023

Jagbir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate with
Mr. K.P.S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail in FIR No.146, dated 17.04.2022, registered at Police Station Matlauda, Panipat, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341 & 506 IPC (Sections 308 & 325 IPC added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the general allegations have been made against the petitioner and no specific injury is attributed to him. The petitioner has undergone actual custody in excess of 08 months and there is no other criminal case pending against him. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as charges have yet to be framed. Thus, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

Custody certificate dated 19.01.2023 digitally signed by Smt.Geeta Rani, Deputy Superintendent, District Prison, Panipat has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record. A perusal thereof shows that the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 08 months and 17 days and there is no other criminal case pending against him.

Learned State counsel concedes that charges have not yet been

Keeping in view the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date and that the petitioner has already undergone actual custody of 08 months and 17 days as well as the fact that no specific injury is attributed to him, I deem it appropriate to grant him regular bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

January 20, 2023

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No