

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24389-2023

Date of Decision:30.10.2023

LAKHWINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrita Singh, Central Govt. Counsel
for respondents- UOI.

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to issue him passport.

2. The petitioner vide application dated 17.05.2018 applied for the passport which was declined. The petitioner was implicated in 2 FIRs. This Court vide order dated 02.04.2014 has quashed FIR No.42 dated 24.07.2012 and petitioner has been acquitted in second FIR No.50 dated 09.05.1993 vide judgment dated 24.02.2017.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in ***Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and others 2023 SCC Online P&H 1391.***

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from MHC Harkawar

Singh and MHC Gurbinder Singh confirms the aforesaid factual position with respect to both FIRs.

5. Faced with this, learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 assures the Court that application of the petitioner shall be considered and disposed of in accordance with law within 4 weeks from today.

6. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>