

109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of Decision : 12.01.2023

1. CWP-27895-2022

SATISH KUMARPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

2. CWP-27902-2022

JAI BHAGWANPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

3. CWP-27923-2022

RAJ KUMARPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

4. CWP-28144-2022

GOUVARDHAN AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

5. CWP-29198-2022

NARINDER SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate assisted by
Ms. Nidhi Gakkhar, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP No.29198 of 2022.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP Nos.27895, 27902, 27923 of 2022.)

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP No. 28144 of 2022.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned counsel appearing for the contesting litigants submits that in case a mandamus is made upon the Director, Consolidation, Haryana, to within a time bound manner, make a decision in accordance with law, upon the respective appeals of the aggrieved concerned, thereupon, they have instructions to withdraw the instant writ petitions.
2. The above made prayer is accepted, and, the instant writ petitions are disposed of with a mandamus upon the Commissioner concerned, exercising powers under Section 42 of the East Punjab Holding (Consolidation and Prevention of Fragmentation) Act, 1948, to upon the respective appeals motion, as subjudice before him, hence make an expeditious decision thereons, in accordance with law, possibly within a period of six months from today but keeping in mind the age of the cases concerned.
3. If the appellants have not filed any interim application(s) within the said appeals, claiming interim relief, then they are permitted to institute such applications and the same shall be decided in accordance with law. If the application(s) claiming interim relief are filed by the aggrieved concerned before the statutory authorities concerned, thereupon, they shall be most promptly decided but prior to a decision being recorded upon the substantive appeals concerned.
4. In the larger interest of justice, it is also deemed appropriate to order that till a decision is made upon the application(s) concerned, and as assured by the learned State counsel, hence the status-quo in respect of the petition lands shall be maintained by all concerned.
5. Disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

12.01.2023
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No