

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28176-2022

Date of decision : 13.02.2023

Jaspreet Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Gourave Bhayyia Gilhotra, Advocate and
Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed seeking writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 13.10.2022 passed by respondent No.2 vide which the petitioner has been repatriated back to his parent department.

2. The petitioner herein is appointed on deputation with the Punjab State Warehouse Corporation, Chandigarh. Vide order dated 13.10.2022 (P-5), he has been ordered to repatriate to his parent department. The petitioner has challenged the same.

3. On a pointed query being raised to counsel for the petitioner as to what right the petitioner has to seek absorption in the department wherein he is on deputation, counsel fairly concedes that there is no such right vested to the petitioner.

4. It is well settled that unless the claim of the deputationist is supported by any statutory rules or regulations or orders, he has no right to claim absorption in the department where he is on deputation. The basic principle is that the person concerned can be repatriated to his parent department at any time to serve on his substantive post at the instance of either of the department. Reference can be made to '*Kunal Nanda vs.*

***Union of India and another*', reported as (2000) 5 SCC 362.**

4. In view of the aforesaid fact, no ground to entertain the present writ petition is made out and the same is hereby dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

13.02.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No