

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

[137]

CRM-M-56849-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:06.02.2023

Karnail Chand and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Munisha

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners, through instant petition, are seeking quashing of complaint No.125/2022 (Annexure P-1) under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and summoning order dated 20.08.2022 (Annexure P-2) whereby the trial Court had summoned the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.3-Nidhi Bala is staying at Bengaluru and she has no concern with the family affairs of the respondent and petitioner No.4-Lokesh Kumar is staying out of the country. Learned counsel submits that he does not press this petition on merits, however, personal appearance of petitioners No.3 & 4 may be exempted and learned trial Court be directed to consider exemption of other petitioners sympathetically.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction that personal appearance of petitioners No.3 and 4 shall remain exempted before the trial Court and trial Court is further requested to consider exemption of other petitioners sympathetically.

It is made clear that any adverse order, if passed in the absence of the petitioners, would not be objected on the ground that it has been passed in their absence.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2023
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>