

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

**C.R. No.5751 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.01.2023**

Ravi Kumar

.....Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Shampa Thakur

.....Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate
for the revisionst-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer for issuing the appropriate directions to the Family Court, Faridabad, to expedite the trial proceedings in the petition bearing GW No.97 of 2021, as preferred by him for seeking the custody of his two minor daughters, who are, presently, residing with their mother, i.e the respondent and to decide the application, moved by him with the prayer to direct the respondent to hand over their (daughters') interim custody to him or to allow him to visit them at least once a week for two hours, while averring that he has filed the above-said main petition and the application on 20.09.2021 and the same are pending adjudication since then.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner in this petition, at the preliminary stage and have also perused the file carefully.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer to the issuance of the direction to the Family Court to expedite the trial in the afore-mentioned main petition and to decide the same within some specific time frame.

4. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that the said main petition is pending before the concerned Court for the last more than one year and three months and without commenting upon the merits thereof, the petition in hand is hereby disposed of with a direction to the Family Court, Faridabad, to expedite the trial in the afore-referred main petition, pending before it and to decide the same, in accordance with law, within a period of nine (09) months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

5. It is further clarified that since this order is being passed without issuing notice in the petition, therefore, it shall be open to the respondent, if aggrieved by the same, to move/file the appropriate application to seek the recalling of the same.

January 05, 2023
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>