

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(202) CRM-49901-2022 in/&
CRM-M-59941-2022
Date of Decision : January 05, 2023

Deepa .. Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh .. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Abihnav Gupta, Addl. P.P., for U.T., Chandigarh.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CRM-49901-2022

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

CRM-M-59941-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though all the prosecution witnesses have already been examined but the trial is not being concluded, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner and therefore, the petitioner, who is already behind the bars for the last about two years, be extended the concession of regular bail or in the alternative, the trial Court be directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, for U.T. Chandigarh, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for issuing appropriate direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the fact that all the prosecution witnesses have already been examined and the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court is 17.01.2023, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of three months from the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

January 05, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No