

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-24285-2023

Date of Decision: 20.10.2023

M/s Shiv Shankar Rice Mills and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Jitin Verma, DFSO

Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for respondent-PUNGRAIN

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking a direction to the respondents to allot them paddy in terms of Custom Milling Policy 2023-24.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that respondent is allotting RO paddy to the petitioners despite the fact that Milling Center with which petitioners are attached is having sufficient free paddy. The petitioners have filed representation dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) before Deputy Director-cum-Chairman, District Allotment Committee, Ropar Division. The grievance of the petitioners, at this stage, would be redressed, if Deputy Director-cum-Chairman, District Allotment Committee is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and pass appropriate order.

3. Learned State counsel submits that representation of the petitioners shall be considered by Deputy Director-cum-Chairman, District Allotment Committee, within three working days and an appropriate order would be passed.

4. In the wake of statements of learned counsels for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of. The respondents shall consider, apart from already made representation, the present writ petition as additional representation of the petitioners.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.10.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>