

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-37562-2018

Date of Decision : October 31, 2023

Kundan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents intended to replace him.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is still continuing in service.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner is still continuing in service that means he was not being replaced and the averments being made by the petitioner that he was being replaced by the respondents is incorrect.
4. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is still continuing in service, the respondents will allow the petitioner to continue in service till the work for the post on which the petitioner is working exists. It is clarified

that the respondent-department will be in a position to ascertain whether the work for the post on which the petitioner is working exists or not and in case, the respondents are of the opinion that work does not exist, due reasons for the same be given while terminating the services of the petitioner.

8. With the above observations, the present petition is disposed of.

7. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is disposed of.

October 31, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No