

103(2)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7329 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.03.2023

M/s Vatika I.T Parks Private Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. A.S. Chadha, Advocate and
Mr. S.K. Biriwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution for setting aside the impugned order dated 17.09.2019, whereby learned Additional District Judge, Faridabad (for short “ADJ”) rejected the application of petitioner to treat the reference under Section 64 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short “the Act of 2013”), which was initially purported to be filed under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short “the Act of 1894”): Further prayer is for quashing of the impugned Award of even dated i.e., 17.09.2019, vide which the reference petition was also dismissed.

It is acknowledged by both sides that the controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered with the decision of even date, i.e., 14.03.2023, rendered in CR No. 7312 of 2019 titled as “*M/s Vatika I.T Parks*

In view of the above, parties are *ad-idem* that this petition be disposed off in terms of order passed in CR No. 7312 of 2019 (*supra*).

Ordered accordingly.

14.03.2023
SN

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No