

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(116)

**CR-5699-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.08.2023**

Satpal Chauhan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Neeta Bakshi

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Dr.Anand Kumar Bishnoi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(ORAL)

1. Present revision petition is directed against the eviction order dated 16.03.2016 passed by Learned Rent Controller, Yamunanagar and affirmed by learned Appellate Authority, Yamunanagar, vide its judgment dated 01.09.2022.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the present petition on merit, however prays that the petitioner may be given reasonable time to make arrangement of alternative accommodation for his business.

3. In view of the above, eviction order dated 16.03.2016 passed by the Rent Controller, Yamunanagar and affirmed in appeal by the Appellate Authority, Yamunanagar vide judgment dated 01.09.2022 is confirmed, however, subject to following conditions:-

- i) the petitioner shall hand over vacant possession of the tenanted premises to the respondent/landlord on or before 31.07.2024;
- ii) the petitioner shall furnish an undertaking before the Rent

Controller, Yamunanagar, within fifteen days from today that he will hand over vacant possession of the tenanted premises to the respondents on or before 31.07.2024; and
iii) the petitioner shall clear arrears of rent, if any, as per the findings recorded by the authorities below, within a period of one month from today and will continue to clear the arrears by seventh of each month till 31.07.2024.

4. Failure to comply with either of the conditions by the petitioner would entitle the respondent/landlady to execute the eviction order forthwith.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

22.08.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No