

**250(1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56490-2022

Date of Decision: 13.04.2023

Gurmukh Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Sirphikhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG Punjab.

Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of cross-case registered vide G.D. no. 39 dated 08.07.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 336, 506 & 34 IPC and Section 25 & 27 of the Arms Act in FIR No. 66 dated 07.07.2020 under Sections 307, 452, 336, 506, 148 & 149 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, District Batala (Annexure P-1). It is pertinent to mention that Section 307, 452 IPC deleted and Section 336 added vide G.D. No. 23 dated 31.03.2021 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the

parties. The District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, vide report dated 20.01.2023, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents Nos. 2 & 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Cross-case registered vide G.D. no. 39 dated 08.07.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 336, 506 & 34 IPC and Section 25 & 27 of the Arms Act in FIR No. 66 dated 07.07.2020 registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, District Batala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)

13.04.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No