

131

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-24249-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.10.2023

Suman Kumari Sidhu
Vs.
State of Punjab and another
..... Petitioner
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Mutneja, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Advocate and
Mr. Guraman Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that considering that the father in law of the petitioner suffers from dementia and requires an attendant 24 hours, the petitioner submitted a detailed representation to the authority which may be directed to be decided, and prays to withdraw the writ petition.
2. Writ Petition is allowed to be withdrawn with observations that the representation be decided by passing a speaking order within a period of fifteen days henceforth.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid observations.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

October 20, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |