2023:PHHC:143253

127 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25392-2023
Date of Decision:09.11.2023

GURMAIL SINGH (BELT NO. 460/BATHINDA) & OTHERS
..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. J.S. Mohri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

****

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioners are squarely covered by Division Bench judgment of this Court in ***Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab*** in ***CWP No.2371 of 2010.***

3. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in ***Harbans Lal*** (supra), the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and pass orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioner in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in ***Harbans Lal*** (supra) and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statements of both side, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.11.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Asgar Ali
2023.11.09 17:18
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document