

2023:PHHC:136542

130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24064-2023

Date of Decision:19.10.2023

M/S CHEEMA AGRO FOODS

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

 Mr. Naresh Gopal Sharma, Advocate for
 Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Advocate
 for the PUNGRAIN.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that paddy has
already been allotted, thus, present petition has rendered infructuous. He
further submits that petitioner is not taking delivery of the paddy.
2. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner would take appropriate step *qua* loading of paddy.
3. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands
disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>