

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW-447-2017 (O&M)

In CWP-11676-2017

Date of Decision: 03.03.2023

**VENKATESHWARA MAHILA AUDYOGIK UTPADAK SAHAKARI
SANSTHA MARYADIT UDGIR**

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

. . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate with
 Ms. Deepika Sood, Advocate
 for review applicant.

 Ms. Puneeta Sethi, Sr. Panel Counsel
 for respondent/UOI.

 Mr. Devendra Chauhan, Advocate
 Mr. Sumeet Bodalkar, Advocate
 Mr. Vivek Sethi, Advocate
 for the petitioner/non-applicant.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (Oral)

CM-14139-CWP-2017

For the reasons assigned in the application, the same is allowed
as prayed for.

CM-14138-CWP-2017

This application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 29 days in
filing the Review Application.

Counsel for the respondents in the said application state that they have no objection if the said delay of 29 days is condoned.

Having regard to the reasons assigned in the application, and having regard to the fact that the counsel for respondents have no objection if this application is allowed, this application is allowed and the said period of delay of 29 days in filing the accompanying Review Application is condoned.

CM-6156-CWP-2018

Reply filed is taken on record.

RA-CW-447-2017

Counsel for the Review Application seeks to withdraw the Review Application.

Having regard to the said statement, permission is granted and the present Review Application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

Pending CM(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

March 03, 2023

Mohit goyal

<i>1. Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>2. Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>