

CRM-M-53597-2023

2023:PHHC:137237

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53597-2023
Date of Decision: 20.10.2023

Paul Parneet Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking quashing of order dated 21.09.2023 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, whereby the prayer of the petitioner for further investigation, was declined which is against the spirit of judgment of this Court passed on 04.09.2023 in CRM-M-43907-2023, petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if he is permitted to file a fresh representation before the concerned DySP instead of Senior Superintendent of Police and the same be decided within the time bound manner.

3. Notices are served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.

4. Given above, let the petitioner represent the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police, within two weeks from today. If the petitioner files such representation within the above-mentioned time period of two weeks, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police, within two months, himself/herself. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists as well as his counsel, without any delay. In the representation, petitioner to mention the e-mail-id of his counsel so that the concerned officer could communicate them outcome.

5. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.

6. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

7. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.10.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.