

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-56617-2022
Date of decision: 06.07.2023

PAWAN

VERSUS

...PETITIONER

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. AG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner is seeking regular bail in the case bearing FIR No.216 dated 16.05.2022, under Sections 10/18/6 of POCSO Act, 2012 and Section 506 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Model Town, District Panipat.
2. Custody certificate of the petitioner has been placed on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated on account of dispute being neighbourer of the mother of the complainant. The petitioner is in custody for a period of 01 year, 01 month and 19 days.
4. Learned State counsel has opposed the bail application on the score that there are categoric and specific allegations levelled by the victim in her statement with regard to the commission of penetrative sexual assault under Section 164 Cr.P.C as well as during the course of trial. Moreover, out of 18, 07 witnesses have already been examined.
5. In the instant case, the victim is 07 years old girl who has specifically and categorically supported the prosecution version with regard to the commission of penetrative sexual assault upon her by the petitioner. Moreover, out of 18, 07 witnesses have already been examined and the trial of the case is

CRM-M-56617-2022

-2-

going at a very fair pace.

6. Keeping in view the gravity of allegations and the age of the victim, no justified ground is made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.
7. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

06.07.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No