

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP No. 24067 of 2023

Date of Decision : 20.10.2023

Navneet Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ankit Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to pay him salary for the period starting from July, 2013 to January, 2014 and May, 2014 to February, 2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that despite the fact that the authorities within the department of Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Haryana vide their letter dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-5) directed the Food & Supplies Controller, Palwal to release the salary of the petitioner for the period in question but the same is not being released by the respondents and that too without any valid justification. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, a decision has already been taken, it is incumbent upon the respondents to release the salary of the petitioner for the period he was put under suspension, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to release the salary of the petitioner from July, 2013 to January, 2014 as well as from May, 2014 to 05.02.2015 forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 01.08.2022 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 01.08.2022 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to him within a further period of two weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

October 20th, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No