

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CROCP-6-2022

Decided on : 10.10.2023

Court On Its Own Motion

Versus

Mohit Sood

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr.Mohit Sood, Advocate, respondent-contemnor in person.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present reference is registered on account of the letter received from the District & Sessions Judge, Gurugram dated 27.08.2021, who has forwarded the communication of Ms. Shashi Chauhan, Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram regarding the conduct of the contemnor.

2. In pursuance of the same, notice had been issued to the respondent-contemnor. On 17.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Respondent-contemnor has put in appearance and prays for time to file the necessary affidavit. He further states that he is willing to file an affidavit/unconditional apology before the officer concerned, who is still posted at Gurugram.

Let, the needful be done on or before the next date of hearing. The respondent-contemnor shall also appear before the officer concerned in person and tender the apology. A report be also called from the said officer that the needful has been done and whether the officer is satisfied with the apology so tendered.

Adjourned to 10.10.2023.

A copy of this order be sent to concerned officer.”

3. In pursuance of the said order, a short affidavit has been filed by the respondent-contemnor, wherein it has been averred that he has tendered his

unconditional apology before the concerned officer and the said person has accepted his apology. It has further been averred that he always hold the institution of judiciary and Constitution of India and rule of law in the highest esteem with reverence and would never contemplate expressing even the slightest disrespect towards the Court of law. He has tendered his sincere unconditional apology for the incident and asked to close the present proceedings qua him.

4. As per office report, a communication from the said officer dated 30.09.2023 has also been received that the needful has been done. She has also stated that she is satisfied with the unconditional apology filed by the present respondent-contemnor.

5. Keeping in view the above and the fact that the respondent is a practising lawyer, we are of the considered opinion that the matter needs to give a quietus. Accordingly, we discharge the rule against the respondent-contemnor.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

10.10.2023
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No