

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

206

CRM-M-55832-2022 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 13.01.2023

SATISH KUMAR YADAVPETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr.Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, which is but successive to the earlier filed and dismissed petition, and that too, without any tangible change of circumstances occurring since then upto now. Therefore, even on pivot of maintainability the extant successive petition is dismissible.
2. However, yet the asked for permission is granted.
3. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, the learned trial Judge concerned, is directed, to conclude the trial arising out of FIR No.1085 dated 26.10.2018, registered at Police Station Sadar Hisar, District Hisar, rather positively within three months from today.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

13.01.2023
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No