

242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55924-2018

Date of Decision: 14.02.2023.

SURINDER VASUDEVA ... PETITIONER
VS.
TIRISHLA JAIN .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.11.2018 passed by the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide which condition with regard to deposit of 25% of the compensation amount has been imposed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the proceedings were initiated on the basis of the complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. The dispute has been amicably settled between the parties in terms of the compromise. The offence has been compounded and the petitioner has been acquitted by the learned Appellate Court. Consequently, he seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

14.02.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No