

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRM-M-55621-2022
Date of Decision: 30.01.2023

Sara Jamal
State of Haryana

Versus

....Petitioner
....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Bhisham Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Learned counsel for State submits that the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR whereas the charges have been framed.

Since the judicial order has been passed which has eclipsed the police report under Section 173 CrPC, as such, the petitioner has to challenge the same.

Given above, the present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR without challenging the framing of charges, is not maintainable.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a petition for challenging the framing of charges, if so desire. It is made clear in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

30.01.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no