

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-24135-2023

Date of decision: - 20.10.2023

M/s Jhelum Roadways Regd. Amritsar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.3 to grant and issue the timings in the joint time table to operate on the allotted revised Permit No.156/Reg./SC/89 (Annexure P-7) for operation of the route i.e. Dhianpur to Madhipur via Kala Afgana -Fatehgarh Churian-Nawan Pind within some stipulated period of time.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances of the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-8) and the petitioner would be satisfied in case the competent authority of the respondent authorities considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant

the appropriate relief.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent-State would consider the said representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-8), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent authorities to consider the representation dated 10.10.2023 (Annexure P-8) filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the competent authority of the respondent authorities is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority of the respondent authorities is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent authorities would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

October 20, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No